

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/476,622	12/31/1999	Howard Chin	884.101US1	8079	
75	590 10/28/2002				
Schwegman Lundberg Woessner & Kluth PA			EXAMINER		
P O Box 2938	DI 66400		TREAT, WILLIAM M		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2183		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/28/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		<b>*</b>	- 1
	Application N	٠	
Office Action Summary	0억/낙기	6 622 Chinetal.  Group Art Unit	
		TREAT DW2183	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	er sheet beneath the correspondence address	
riod f r Reply		10.73.05	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING D	ATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	, a reply within the state fault, expire SIX (6) MC	utory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .	
tatus			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/21	102		
☐ This action is FINAL.			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			
isposition of Claims			
Q Claim(s) 10 and 21-40		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 10 and 21-40			
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		·	
□ Claim(s)		·	ion
pplication Papers		/ requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-	-948	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	bjected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.		
ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)</li> </ul>	s of the priority docu	uments have been	
☐ received in this national stage application from the		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
*Certified copies not received:		•	
ttachment(s)	6		
		☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	er No(s)	billerview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Papel ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	er No(s)	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PT	O-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Application/Control Number: 09/476,622

Art Unit: 2183

- 1. Claims 10 and 21-40 are presented for examination.
- 2. Newly amended claim 10 and previously restricted claims 21-40 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
- . Applicants specification identifies the invention of their newly amended claim 10 and previously restricted claims 21-40 as a distinct invention from the original claims 1-20.

On page 4, line 25 through page 5, line 3, applicants state: "Fig. 2A is a more detailed block diagram of an example embodiment of the processor and firmware shown in Fig. 1. In one embodiment of the invention, firmware 206 stores program code 210 for controlling the operation of the processor 204. The programmed code 210 stored in the firmware 206 is referred to herein as the 'firmware code.' In an example embodiment, the firmware code 210 implements microcode operations using registers which are specific to a particular machine or to a particular model of a machine. The registers are referred to herein as 'Machine Specific Registers.' The machine specific registers function as an interface between the firmware 206 and the processor 204." Applicants newly amended claim 10 and previously restricted claims 21-40 are all directed to this new invention requiring machine specific registers.

On page 5, line 25 through page 6, line 4, applicants state: "Fig. 2B is a block diagram of an alternate embodiment of the processor shown in Fig. 1 and external microcode stored in a computer readable medium. In one embodiment of the invention, a computer readable medium 220, which is external to the processor, stores program 222 for controlling the operation of the

Application/Control Number: 09/476,622

Art Unit: 2183

processor 224. Examples of computer readable mediums external to the processor include, but are not limited to, mass storage devices, firmware, and memory. The programmed code 222 stored in the computer readable medium is referred to herein as 'external microcode.' In an example embodiment, the external microcode 222 implements microcode operations by controlling hardware logic on the processor 224 without the use of the registers (i.e., the machine specific registers) shown in Fig. 2A." Applicants' original claims were all directed to the alternative invention without machine specific registers.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for amended claim 10 and previously restricted claims 21-40 was not required for the original claims, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, amended claim 10 and previously claims 21-40 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

3. Applicants have argued that the language of original claims 5, 6, 7, 11, 15, and 18 was directed to "machine specific registers", and therefore, the examiner should not restrict their new claims specifically reciting "machine specific registers" as being directed to the different invention as explained by the examiner in paragraph 2, *supra*. The examiner notes that claims 5, 6, 7, 11, 15, and 18 were all canceled by applicant in response to art that did not teach "machine"

Application/Control Number: 09/476,622

Art Unit: 2183

specific registers". The cancellation of those claims in response to art which did not teach "machine specific registers" is a tacit admission that applicants' original claims were not directed to the alternative invention with "machine specific registers".

4. The amendment filed on 10/21/02 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because of the reasons set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3, *supra*.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a bona fide attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William M. Treat whose telephone number is (703) 305-9699. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but he can normally be reached during the afternoons and evenings on four of the five weekdays.

WILLIAM M. TREAT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4